arXiv:1411.7214v2 [math.DG] 9 Feb 2016

A NOTE ON TRANSVERSE DIVERGENCE AND TAUT RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS

VLADIMIR SLESAR

Abstract. In this note we give a characterization of taut Riemannian foliations using the transverse divergence. This result turns out to be a convenient tool in the case of some standard examples. Furthermore, we show that a classical tautness result of Haefliger can be obtained in our particular setting as a straightforward consequence. In the final part of the paper we obtain a tautness characterization for transversally oriented foliations with dense leaves.

Key Words: Riemannian foliations; transverse divergence; taut foliations.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 57R30, 55R10, 58J50.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we consider a C^∞ foliated manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$, endowed with a Riemannian metric g. A particular class of foliations with remarkable dynamical and geometric properties is represented by the taut foliations. A foliation is called *taut* if there exists a metric on M such that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is a minimal submanifold of the ambient manifold M. The work of Sullivan [21] and later of Haefliger [7] on compact foliated manifolds offered a characterization of these aspects, showing that tautness is basically related to the transverse geometry of the foliation.

An usual additional condition for a foliation is the existence of a *bundle-like* metric; with respect to this metric the foliation can be locally identified with a Riemannian submersion [17]. This particular class of foliations are called *Riemannian foliations*. Examples of this type occur for instance in the theory of locally conformally Kähler manifolds and their submanifolds (see e.g. [6, page 44], [23]), in the case of Sasakian manifolds [3, Subsection 1.1], while the characteristic leafwise holonomy invariance is relevant from the point of view of Yang-Mills equations (see e.g. [8] for further references related to particle physics).

For Riemannian foliations the tautness properties has been intensively studied; for a chronological and more detailed presentation we refer to [18]. Remarkably, the tautness depends on the topology of the manifold, and a characterization in terms of the basic cohomology is possible. More exactly, if the manifold M is closed (i.e. compact and without boundary), then it can be shown that the foliation is taut if and only if a certain basic cohomology class $\xi(\mathcal{F})$-called *Álvarez class*, is trivial [1]. If, furthermore, the foliation is transversally orientable, then it is taut if and only if the top dimensional cohomology group does not vanish [1, 10].

As a consequence, in many papers the study of taut foliations is based on the topological and cohomological aspects. Thus, when investigating particular examples, beside a direct study of the basic cohomology groups (see e.g. [4]), a tautness

result via some adapted vanishing techniques can be expected at most in the case of foliations with positively defined transverse curvature operator (see [1, 13]).

In the present note we take a different approach, establishing a new characterization of taut Riemannian foliations using the *transverse divergence operator*. From the dynamical point of view, a foliation can be regarded as a generalization of a differential manifold (the classical setting is obtained in the absolute case when the manifold is foliated by points). On a closed Riemannian manifold we cannot construct vector fields with positive, non-vanishing divergence, as a trivial consequence of the Green theorem. Considering the transverse geometry of the foliations as a generalization for the geometry of the manifolds and the transverse divergence as an extension of the classical differential operator, we prove in this note that taut Riemannian foliations are precisely the extended framework of Riemannian manifolds where the above classical fact still holds.

As this result does not rely on basic cohomology and Bochner technique, we show that it can be applied on classical examples with non-positive transverse curvature operator.

It also turns out that some classical statements from the theory of taut Riemannian foliations can be derived as straightforward consequences of our analytical characterization. In particular, a tautness result of Haefliger [7], asserting that the existence of a closed transverse submanifold ensures the tautness is proved for our particular framework, revealing an interesting link between this result and the Green theorem. Also, an important extension to the finite Riemannian covering spaces of the tautness properties proved in [1] can be alternatively derived using our arguments in a simpler manner.

In the final we give a tautness characterization for transversely oriented Riemannian foliations with dense leaves using the transverse volume form.

The paper is organized as follows: in the second section we define the main geometric objects we use in this note and briefly state the relevant previous results. The central result of the note is proved in the third section, while in the last part we present the above straightforward applications.

2. Preliminaries

We start out this section considering a differential manifold M endowed with a Riemannian metric structure g and with a non-necessary integrable distribution D of dimension d. In the following we use the classical musical isomorphisms $\sharp$ and $\flat$. Let $\{E_i\}_{1\leq i\leq d}$ and $\left\{E_i^\flat\right\}_{1\leq i\leq d}$ be a local orthonormal frame and coframe for D with respect to the metric g.

The action of the *divergence operator associated to* D on a vector field $v \in \Gamma(TM)$ is defined using the Levi-Civita connection ∇

$$\operatorname{div}^D v := \sum_{i=1}^{d} g\left(\nabla_{E_i} v, E_i\right) \ . \tag{1}$$

In the following, we briefly present the interplay between the divergence operator and a (locally defined) volume form ν_D of D induced in the canonical way by the metric g. According to [2] (see also [22, Chapter 4]), if $\mathcal{L}_v$ is the Lie derivative along the vector field v, we consider the restriction $\theta_v := \mathcal{L}_{v|D}$; for instance, if

$1 \leq i, j \leq d$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_v E_i^\flat(E_j) &= v(E_i^\flat(E_j)) - E_i^\flat([v, E_j]) \\ &= -g([v, E_j], E_i) \ , \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently

$$\theta_v E_i^\flat = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} g\left([v, E_j], E_i\right) E_j^\flat \ .$$

Now, taking $\nu_D = E_1^\flat \wedge .. \wedge E_d^\flat$, as the Levi-Civita connection has vanishing torsion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_v \nu_D &= \theta_v E_1^\flat \wedge .. \wedge E_d^\flat + .. + E_1^\flat \wedge .. \wedge \theta_v E_d^\flat \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^{d} g\left([v, E_j], E_j\right) \nu_D \\ &= \mathrm{div}^D v \cdot \nu_D \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Remark 1. *In the classical case when $D \equiv TM$, we get the well-known equivalent definition for the divergence operator (see e.g.* [12, page 140]*)*

$$\mathcal{L}_v \nu_{TM} = \mathrm{div}^{TM} v \cdot \nu_{TM} \ .$$

Considering the complementary distribution $D^\perp$ with respect to the metric g and taking the canonical projections π_D and $\pi_{D^\perp}$, if $v \in D^\perp$, then it is easy to see that

$$\theta_v \nu_D = -g(v, \pi_{D^\perp}(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \nabla_{E_j} E_j))\nu_D \ . \tag{3}$$

Using the musical isomorphism $\sharp$ we can define the *mean curvature vector field* $\kappa_D^\sharp := \pi_{D^\perp}(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \nabla_{E_j} E_j)$, the *mean curvature form* κ_D being then subject to the condition $\kappa_D(U) = g(\kappa_D^\sharp, U)$, for any vector field U (see e.g. [24]).

After we made the above considerations in a larger setting, from now on the framework of this paper will be represented by a smooth, closed Riemannian foliation $(M, \mathcal{F}, g)$. The *leafwise* distribution tangent to leaves will be denoted by $T\mathcal{F}$; a corresponding *transverse* distribution $Q = T\mathcal{F}^\perp \simeq TM/T\mathcal{F}$ is obtained. We assume $\dim M = n$, $\dim T\mathcal{F} = p$ and $\dim Q = q$, with $p + q = n$.

A first consequence is the splitting of the tangent and the cotangent vector bundles associated with M

$$\begin{aligned} TM &= Q \oplus T\mathcal{F}, \\ TM^* &= Q^* \oplus T\mathcal{F}^*. \end{aligned}$$

For local investigation of the transverse geometry of the foliated manifold we will use local orthonormal basis $\{E_i, F_a\}$ defined on a neighborhood of a point $p \in M$; $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ will span the distribution Q while $\{F_a\}_{1 \leq a \leq p}$ will span the distribution $T\mathcal{F}$. It is also convenient to employ *basic (projectable) local vector fields* $\{E_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q}$, defined by the condition [22, page 4]

$$\pi_Q\left([U, E_i]\right) = 0 \tag{4}$$

for any $U \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$.

Another important transverse geometric object is represented by the *basic de Rham complex*. It is defined as a restriction of the set of classical differential forms $\Omega(M)$ [22, page 33]

$$\Omega_b(M) := \{\omega \in \Omega(M) \mid \iota_U\omega = \mathcal{L}_U\omega = 0\} \ ,$$

where U is again an arbitrary leafwise vector field, while ι stands for interior product. The corresponding *basic de Rham derivative* d_b comes as a restriction of the classical de Rham derivative, $d_b := d_{|\Omega_b(M)}$. It is also possible to define as well the adjoint operator, namely the *basic co-derivative* δ_b (see e.g. [1]). The *basic cohomology groups* of the basic de Rham complex $(\Omega_b(M), d_b)$ are defined in a classical way [22, page 33]) and are denoted by $H_b^i(\mathcal{F})$, with $1 \leq i \leq q$.

One differential form of particular importance (which may not be necessarily a basic differential form) is the mean curvature form associated to the leafwise distribution $T\mathcal{F}$. We denote it by κ, in accordance with [1, 19]. As above, it is subject to the relation $\kappa^\sharp := \pi_Q\left(\sum_{a=1}^p \nabla_{F_a}F_a\right)$.

If the distribution $D \equiv Q$, then using (1) we obtain the *transverse divergence operator* div^Q [22, page 42]. We state here the following useful relation

$$\mathrm{div}^Q v = \mathrm{div}\, v + g\left(v, \kappa^\sharp\right) \ . \tag{5}$$

which holds for any vector field $v \in \Gamma(TM)$.

A deeper investigation of the mean curvature form on Riemannian foliations is presented in [1]; the author shows that we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega(M) = \Omega_b(M) \oplus \Omega_b(M)^\perp \ ,$$

with respect to the $C^\infty-$Fréchet topology. Thus, on any Riemannian foliation, the mean curvature form can be decomposed as the sum

$$\kappa = \kappa_b + \kappa_o \ ,$$

where $\kappa_b \in \Omega_b(M)$ is the *basic component of the mean curvature*, κ_o being the orthogonal complement. From now on we denote $\tau := \kappa_b^\sharp$.

Remark 2. *A fundamental property of κ_b is that it is a closed differential basic form, the corresponding basic cohomology class being denoted by $\xi(\mathcal{F})$; this cohomology class (called* Álvarez class [14, 15]*) is independent on the metric g.*

We state now a remarkable characterization of the taut Riemannian foliation using basic cohomology obtained in [1].

Theorem 1 (Álvarez López [1])**.** *A Riemannian foliation F on a compact manifold is taut if and only if $\xi(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Moreover, when $\mathcal{F}$ is transversely oriented, the foliation is taut if and only if $H_b^q(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$.*

3. A new characterization of taut Riemannian foliations

In the following, we show that it is possible to obtain a characterization of the non-taut Riemannian foliation of arbitrary codimension using the transverse divergence.

Theorem 2. *Let $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$ be a Riemannian foliation defined on a closed manifold M. Then the foliation is non-taut if and only if there is a basic vector field v on M such that $\mathrm{div}^Q v \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^Q v > 0$ at some point, where div^Q is the transverse divergence operator associated to the metric g.*

Proof. We prove first that the above condition regarding the transverse divergence implies that the foliation is non-taut. As the metric g is bundle-like, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{div}^Q\, v = \mathrm{div}^Q\, \pi_Q(v)$, so we can assume that v is also perpendicular to the leaves at any point. We consider the *transverse Green formula* (see e.g. [22, page 42]):

$$\int_M \mathrm{div}^Q v \, d\mu = \int_M g(v, k^\sharp) d\mu \ ,$$

the integrals being taken with respect to the measure $d\mu$ induced by the metric g. We point out that the above formula arises from the relation (5) and the theorem of Green on closed, non-necessarily orientable Riemannian manifolds (see e.g. [16, page 157]).

We assume that the foliation is taut and, in accordance with [1, 11], it is possible to choose a bundle-like metric g' such that the new mean curvature 1-form vanishes, $\kappa'_b = \kappa' = 0$, the transverse part of the metric remaining intact. We consider the relation

$$\mathrm{div}^Q v = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \iota_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} v^\flat \ ,$$

the musical isomorphism being considered with respect to the initial metric g. It turns out that $v^\flat$ is a basic 1-form on $\mathcal{F}$. Now, taking the basic local vector fields $\{E'_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ as a transverse orthonormal frame with respect to the new metric g' spanning the new orthogonal complement Q' of $T\mathcal{F}$, as the transverse part of the metric is invariant, we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \iota_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} v^\flat = \sum_{i=1}^{q} \iota_{E'_i} \nabla'_{E'_i} v^\flat \ ,$$

and

$$\mathrm{div}^Q v = \mathrm{div}^{Q'} v' \ ,$$

where we denote $v' := (v^\flat)^{\sharp'}$, this time the operator $\sharp'$ being constructed with respect to the bundle-like metric g'; note that v' may not equal v, as Q' may not coincide with Q. In fact, regarding locally the Riemannian foliation as a Riemannian submersion, if we consider a local transverse manifold T, as all geometrical objects are projectable, then both operators equal $-\delta_T$, where δ_T is the classical de Rham coderivative on T (see e.g. [1]). Integrating the real function $\mathrm{div}^Q v$ over the closed manifold M with respect to the modified metric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \mathrm{div}^Q v \, d\mu' &= \int_M \mathrm{div}^{Q'} v' d\mu' \\ &= \int_M g'(v', \kappa'^{\sharp'}) d\mu' \ . \end{aligned}$$

As we assumed $\kappa' = 0$, we get also $\kappa'^{\sharp'} = 0$, and $\int_M \mathrm{div}^Q v \, d\mu' = 0$. Now the contradiction comes from the positivity of $\mathrm{div}^Q v$.

In the sequel we prove the converse statement. Let $(M, g, \mathcal{F})$ be a non-taut Riemannian foliation. According to [11], we can choose the metric g such that the

transverse part remains intact, and with respect to the new metric g', the mean curvature k' is basic and harmonic. Then, in accordance with [1],

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_b\kappa' &= -\sum_{i=1}^{q}\iota_{E_i'}\nabla'_{E_i'}\kappa' + \iota_{\tau'}\kappa' \\ &= 0\,,\end{aligned}$$

where ι stands for interior multiplication and τ' is defined like τ for $(M, g', \mathcal{F})$.

On the other side,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q}\iota_{E_i'}\nabla'_{E_i'}\kappa' = \mathrm{div}^{Q}\tau' \ \text{ and } \ \iota_{\tau'}\kappa' = \|\tau'\|^2\ ,$$

and thus we get

$$\mathrm{div}^{Q'}\tau' = \|\tau'\|^2\ .$$

As the foliation is non-taut, then $\tau' \not\equiv 0$, so $\mathrm{div}^{Q'}\tau' \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^{Q'}\tau' > 0$ at some point. Now, we take

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{\xi(\mathcal{F}),g} &:= ((\tau')^{\flat'})^{\sharp} \\ &= (\kappa')^{\sharp}\ .\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the transverse vector field $\tau_{\xi(\mathcal{F}),g}$ is determined only by the basic cohomology class $\xi(\mathcal{F})$ of the foliation and the initial bundle-like metric g. As before, we obtain

$$\mathrm{div}^{Q}\tau_{\xi(\mathcal{F}),g} = \mathrm{div}^{Q'}\tau'\ .$$

We notice that τ' and $\tau_{\xi(\mathcal{F}),g}$ are basic vector fields, while $\mathrm{div}^{Q}\tau_{\xi(\mathcal{F}),g}$ is a basic real function, which finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 1. *A Riemannian foliation on a closed manifold is taut if and only if for any basic vector field v we have $\mathrm{div}^{Q}v = 0$, or there are at least two points p_1 and p_2 such that $\mathrm{div}^{Q}_{p_1}v > 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^{Q}_{p_2}v < 0$.*

Remark 3. *If we assume the transverse orientation, then another result that holds only on taut Riemannian foliation is represented by the Poincaré duality (see e.g.* [1, 10]*). This, together with the above result lead us to regard taut foliations as the maximal extension of closed Riemannian manifolds when some classical features are still valid.*

4. Several applications

4.1. **A new tautness criterion.** In the following we test the above result on some standard examples of Riemannian foliations, showing that it turns out to be a convenient tautness criterion.

Example 1. We consider a Riemannian flow which is classical in the theory of foliations. We take a matrix $\mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, with trace condition $\mathrm{TrA} > 2$. If λ_1, λ_2 are the eigenvalues, it is easy to see that

$$0 < \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 = 1,\ \lambda_i \neq 1,\ \lambda_i > 0,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Let V_1, V_2 be the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors. Then, one can define a semi-direct product $H = \mathbb{R} \times_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^2$, where $\{\varphi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is defined as

$$\varphi_t(x) = \mathrm{A}^t x,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

We get a Lie group H, such that if $p_1,\, p_2 \in H$, $p_1 = (t_1, x_1)$, and $p_2 = (t_2, x_2)$, then the multiplication will be defined in the following way:

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 = (t_1 + t_2, \mathrm{A}^{t_1} x_2 + x_1)\ .$$

Now, if $x_1 = \alpha_1 V_1 + \beta_1 V_2$, $x_2 = \alpha_2 V_1 + \beta_2 V_2$, as $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}V_1 \times \mathbb{R}V_2 \equiv \mathbb{R}^3$, we can consider $p_1 = (t_1, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$, $p_2 = (t_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$, and the above multiplication can be written as:

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 = (t_1 + t_2, \lambda_1^{t_1}\alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \lambda_2^{t_1}\beta_2 + \beta_1)\ .$$

As $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}V_1 \times \mathbb{R}V_2 \equiv \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the first term becomes isomorphic with the *orientation preserving affine group* GA, defined as

$$GA := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}_{a\in\mathbb{R}_+, b\in\mathbb{R}}\ ,$$

the isomorphism being expressed by the correspondence [4]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (\log_{\lambda_1} a, b)\ .$$

Is it now easy to see that $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}\times_{\varphi}\mathbb{Z}^2$ represents in fact a discrete and uniform subgroup.

We introduce a left invariant metric on H. With respect to the above identification of $\mathbb{R}^3$, at $e = (0,0,0)$ we take the vectors $(E_1)_e = (1,0,0)$, $(E_2)_e = (0,1,0)$ and $(E_3)_e = (0,0,1)$.

For any $p = (t_p, \alpha_p, \beta_p) \in H$, let l_p be the left multiplication by p, and $L_p := dl_p$ the differential application. If we consider the curve $c : \mathbb{R} \to H$ given by $c(s) := s\,(E_1)_e$, then $(E_1)_e = \frac{dc}{ds}\mid_{s=0}$ and, as a consequence, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} (E_1)_p &= L_p((E_1)_e) \\ &= \frac{dc}{ds}\mid_{s=0} \Big((t_p + s, \lambda_1^{t_p}0 + \alpha_p, \lambda_2^{t_p}0 + \beta_p)\Big) \\ &= (1,0,0)_p\ . \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we consider:

$$\begin{aligned} (E_2)_p &= \lambda_1^{t_p}(0,1,0)_p\ , \\ (E_3)_p &= \lambda_2^{t_p}(0,0,1)_p\ . \end{aligned}$$

Now the metric tensor g_H will be chosen such that $\Big\{(E_1)_p\,,\,(E_2)_p\,,\,(E_3)_p\Big\}$ will be an orthonormal basis at each point $p = (t_p, \alpha_p, \beta_p) \in H$. The resulting metric tensor will be a *warped* metric tensor, and being a left invariant metric, g_H will be also Γ-invariant. Consequently, we can consider the metric g induced on the Lie group $\mathrm{T}^3_{\mathrm{A}} = \Gamma\backslash H$, which becomes a $GA-$foliated manifold when considering the flow induced by E_3 [4].

We compute the Lie brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [E_1, E_2] &= \ln\lambda_1 E_2\ , \\ [E_1, E_3] &= \ln\lambda_2 E_3\ , \\ [E_2, E_3] &= 0\ . \end{aligned}$$

For the Cartan and Christoffel coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ij}^k E_k &= [E_i, E_j] \,, \\ \Gamma_{ij}^k &= g(\nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k) \,, \end{aligned}$$

with $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3$, using the Koszul formula we get

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{ij}^k + C_{ki}^j + C_{kj}^i \right) \,.$$

We can compute now the necessary coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{33}^2 = \Gamma_{12}^1 = 0, \\ \Gamma_{33}^1 = \ln \lambda_2, \; \Gamma_{21}^2 = - \ln \lambda_1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence we obtain the mean curvature vector field

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \Gamma_{33}^1 E_1 + \Gamma_{33}^2 E_2 \\ &= \ln \lambda_2 E_1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we take $v := \tau$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}^Q \tau &= \ln \lambda_2 \Gamma_{11}^1 + \ln \lambda_2 \Gamma_{21}^2 \\ &= - \ln \lambda_2 \cdot \ln \lambda_1 \\ &= \left(\ln \lambda_1 \right)^2 > 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2 we obtain that the flow T^3_{A} is non-taut.

Remark 4. *The same conclusion can be reached using a direct study of the basic the Rham cohomology* [4]*. On the other side, it is also easy to see that for the above GA−foliated manifold the transverse curvature operator is non-positive, so the basic cohomology cannot be investigated using vanishing results or other classical analytical method (see e.g.* [13, 20]*).*

Remark 5. *A natural question is if on Riemannian non-taut foliations all globally defined basic vector fields share the above property regarding the transverse divergence. We notice that if v is basic and $v \perp \kappa^\sharp$ at any point, then from (5) we obtain that* $\mathrm{div}^Q v = \mathrm{div} v$*, and we get either* $\mathrm{div}^Q v \equiv 0$*, or this function has positive and negative values on the manifold M. As a direct consequence $\tau_{\xi(\mathcal{F}),g}$ is not perpendicular on $\kappa^\sharp$ at any point. For instance, in the above example, considering the basic vector field E_2, we can compute* $\mathrm{div}^Q E_2 = \Gamma_{12}^1 = 0$.

Example 2. We can obtain another example increasing the transversal dimension of the foliations; namely, we consider a matrix $A \in \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$, for instance [5]

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

If $\{\lambda_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$, are the eigenvalues and $\{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ the corresponding eigenvectors, then computing the sign of the characteristic polynomial of A we obtain that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < 1, \; 2 < \lambda_2 < 3, \; 3 < \lambda_2 < 4 \,,$$

and, as in the previous example

$$\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 = 1, \; \lambda_i \neq 1, \; \lambda_i > 0 \,,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Introducing the bundle-like metric in a similar manner as above, for $v := \tau$ we finally end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^Q \tau &= -\ln \lambda_2 \left(\ln \lambda_1 + \ln \lambda_3\right) \\ &= \left(\ln \lambda_2\right)^2 > 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the Riemannian foliation is non-taut.

Remark 6. *For a proof using cohomological arguments see* [5].

Finally, we give an application of Corollary 1.

Example 3. We may consider the torus $T^2 := \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ with the metric $g = e^{2f(y)}dx^2 + dy^2$, for some periodic function f; as consequence, $\left\{\partial_y, e^{-f(y)}\partial_x\right\}$ represent an orthonormal basis at any point, $Q = \operatorname{span}\{\partial_y\}$, $T\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{span}\{e^{-f(y)}\partial_x\}$. Then any C^∞ transversal vector field v will be written as

$$v = \varphi(y)\partial_y \,,$$

$\varphi(y)$ being again a periodic C^∞ function. Then, the transverse divergence is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}^Q v &= g\left(\nabla_{\partial_y}\varphi(y)\partial_y, \partial_y\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial\varphi(y)}{\partial y} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(1).$$

If $\varphi(y)$ is constant with respect to y, then $\operatorname{div}^Q v = 0$; otherwise we will have $\frac{\partial\varphi(y)}{\partial y} > 0$ at some points and $\frac{\partial\varphi(y)}{\partial y} < 0$ at other points. The tautness of the foliation is implied by Corollary 1.

4.2. **Other applications: new proofs for old results.** As pointed out in the introductory section, from the characterization theorem we can obtain a simpler proof of some well-known results.

In the following, we refer first of all to a classical tautness result of Haefliger concerning foliations with arbitrary metric. The author gives a tautness characterization using the holonomy pseudogroup, and this characterization is trivially satisfied when there is a representative of the holonomy pseudogroup defined on a closed transverse manifold [7, Corollary 2]. In the particular case of foliations with bundle-like metric we can project the transverse divergence operator on the closed transversal. Consequently, we give a short proof of this result applying the Green theorem.

Proposition 1 (Haefliger [7])**.** *If a Riemannian foliation has a closed transversal which cuts all leaves then it is taut.*

Proof. We consider a closed transverse manifold T and suppose by contradiction that the foliation is non-taut. If at some point $p \in M$ the manifold T meets a leaf, then at that point it generates a subspace Q_p of the tangent space T_pM which is a complement of $T_p\mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that Q_p can be determined by a unique retraction $r_p : T_pM \to T_p\mathcal{F}$, with $\ker r_p = Q_p$. Considering now the vector bundle constructed over M using these mathematical objects, we can extend the complementary distribution Q from the closed subset T to the whole manifold M as a classical extension of a section in a fibre bundle (see e.g. [9, Theorem 5.7]).

In a similar way as in [1, 5], requesting that $Q_p \perp T_p\mathcal{F}$ at each p, we can obtain a new metric which keeps the transverse and the leafwise part of the initial metric, but this time T is a transverse orthogonal manifold. The foliation is still non-taut. According to the characterization, there will be some basic vector field v, which can be also made perpendicular to the leaves, such that $\mathrm{div}^Q v \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^Q v > 0$ at some point. At any point where T meets a leaf $\mathrm{div}^Q v$ in fact equals the classical divergence operator $\mathrm{div}^T v$ on T; as T meets all the leaves and the function $\mathrm{div}^Q v$ is constant along leaves, we will have $\mathrm{div}^T v \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^T v > 0$ at some point on T. This fact is impossible considering the classical Green theorem, this time on the closed manifold T. □

As pointed out in [1, Lemma 6.3], the tautness of a Riemannian foliations is a property which can be lifted on finite Riemannian coverings. As we cannot take the sum of metrics in some averaging process to obtain a minimizing metric, the proof was obtained using Sullivan purification [21] and Rummler formula [19]. In turn, with respect to a chosen metric, a finite sum of basic vector fields will always preserve the positivity of transverse divergence. We present a swift proof of the result below using our tautness characterization.

Proposition 2 (Álvarez López [1])**.** *Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ be a Riemannian finite covering of M. Then a Riemannian foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M is taut if and only if the Riemannian foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \pi^* (\mathcal{F})$ on $\tilde{M}$ is taut.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}$ is non-taut, then a basic vector field v with $\mathrm{div}^Q v \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^Q v > 0$ at some point exists on M. Using the local isometry π we can consider on $\tilde{M}$ the pull-back $\pi^* (v)$ which will verify the positivity of transverse divergence, so $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ will be non-taut. Conversely, assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-taut and $\tilde{v}$ is a basic vector field on $\tilde{M}$ such that $\mathrm{div}^Q \tilde{v} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{div}^Q \tilde{v} > 0$ at some point. Let Γ be the group of deck transformations of π. Then the finite sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^* (\tilde{v})$ represents a basic vector field on $\tilde{M}$ which also verify the divergence property and it can be projected on M to obtain a vector v with the same features; consequently, $\mathcal{F}$ is non-taut. □

4.3. **A new result: the characterization of taut foliations with dense leaves.** In the final part of the paper we briefly present a new consequence of the main result of Section 3. We use Corollary 1 to obtain a characterization of taut Riemannian foliations transversally oriented and with dense leaves.

Proposition 3. *If the foliation is transversally oriented and has dense leaves, then it is taut if any and only if any globally defined basic vector field v preserves the transverse volume form ν_Q, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{L}_v \nu_Q = 0 \, . \tag{6}$$

Proof. From the defining property of the basic vector field (4) it is easy to see that the differential operators $\mathcal{L}_v$ and θ_v agree on $\Omega_b (M)$ for v basic. As the foliation has dense leaves, the smooth basic function $\mathrm{div}^Q v$ is constant, then in accordance with Corollary 1 $\mathrm{div}^Q v$ vanishes on M. The conclusion comes now from the relation (2), written for the transverse distribution Q. □

Remark 7. *Consequently, the image of any projectable vector field on a local transversal T is* solenoidal *and* volume preserving *(see e.g.* [12, page 140]*).*

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank J. A. Álvarez López for helpful conversations. He also would like to thank the referee for corrections and recommendations that improved the quality of the paper. This work was partially supported by the UE grant FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IRSES-316338.

References

[1] J. A. Álvarez López, J. A.: The basic component of the mean curvature of Riemannian foliations, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 10 (1992), 179-194.
[2] J. A. Álvarez López, Y. A. Kordyukov, Long time behaviour of leafwise heat flow for Riemannian foliations, Compositio Math. 125 (2001), 129-153.
[3] C. Boyer, K. Galicki, 3-Sasakian manifolds, Surveys Differ. Geom. 7 (1999), 123-184.
[4] Y. Carrière, Flots riemanniens, Structures transverses des feuilletages, Astérisque 116 (1984), 31-52.
[5] D. Domínguez, Finiteness and tenseness theorems for Riemannian foliations, Amer. J. of Math. 120 (1998), 1237-1276.
[6] S. Dragomir, L. Ornea, Locally Conformal Kähler Geometry, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
[7] A. Haefliger, Some remarks on foliations with minimal leaves, J. Differ. Geom. 15 (1980), 269-284.
[8] A. M. Ionescu, V. Slesar, M. Visinescu, G. E. Vîlcu, Transverse Killing and twistor spinors associated to the basic Dirac operators. Rev. Math. Phys. 25 (2013), 1330011, 21 p.
[9] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry I. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
[10] X. M. Masa, Duality and minimality in Riemannian foliations, Comment. Math. Helv. 67 (1992), 17-27.
[11] A. Mason, An application of stochastic flows to Riemannian foliations, Houston J. Math. 26 (2000), 481-515.
[12] J. E. Marsden, T. S. Ratiu, Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry: A Basic Exposition of Classical Mechanical Systems, Springer, New York, 1999.
[13] M. Min-Oo, E. Ruh, P. Tondeur, Vanishing theorems for the basic cohomology of Riemannian foliations, J. Reine Angew. Math. 415 (1991), 167-174.
[14] H. Nozawa, Continuity of the Álvarez class under deformations, J. Reine Angew. Math. 673 (2012), 125-159.
[15] H. Nozawa, J. I. Royo-Prieto, Tenseness of Riemannian flows, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 64 (2014) no. 4, 1419-1439.
[16] W. A. Poor, Differential Geometric Structures, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
[17] B. Reinhart, Foliated manifolds with bundle-like metrics, Ann. Math. 69 (1959), 119-132.
[18] J. I. Royo-Prieto, M. Saralegi-Aranguren, R. Wolak, Cohomological tautness for Riemannian foliations, Russian J. Math. Phys. 16 (2009), 450-466.
[19] H. Rummler, Quelques notions simples en géométrie riemannienne et leurs applications aux feuilletages compacts, Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), 224-239.
[20] V. Slesar, Spectral sequences and taut Riemannian foliations, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 32 (2007), 87-101.
[21] D. Sullivan, A homological characterization of foliations consisting of minimal surfaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), 218-223.
[22] Ph. Tondeur, Geometry of Foliations, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.
[23] G. E. Vîlcu, Ruled CR-submanifolds of locally conformal Kähler manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012), 1366-1372.
[24] P. Walczak, An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions, Colloq. Math. 58 (1990), 243-252.